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ABSTRACT We report on the synthesis of pore-expanded monodispersed mesoporous silica spheres (MMSS) and ferromagnetic mesoporous composites. Pore-expansion of MMSS was achieved using two different solvothermal methods; a surfactant exchange method, in which surfactant molecules inside the mesopores were exchanged for those with longer alkyl-chain lengths, and a swelling agent incorporation method, by which swelling agents such as various hydrocarbons were incorporated into the mesopores. The mesopores were expanded up to two to three times the original value (3.7 and 5.5 nm using the respective methods) without any modification to the uniform spherical shape and radial alignment of the hexagonally-ordered mesopores. FePt-MMSS composites were also successfully prepared by synthesizing FePt nanoparticles inside the mesopores of the pore-expanded MMSS. The composites exhibited ferromagnetic behavior at room temperature and retained sufficient mesoporosity to incorporate various chemicals, nanoparticles, quantum dots, enzymes, and DNA molecules. The FePt-MMSS composites are expected to have potential applications in drug delivery systems, gene delivery systems, magnetic hyperthermia devices, and magnetic photonic crystals.
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1. Introduction

The discovery of an ordered mesoporous silica, MCM-41, in the early 1990s by Mobil researchers(1) has been followed by extensive studies by many researchers to date.(2) Many studies on the synthesis of ordered mesoporous silicas have been conducted for applications such as new types of catalysts,(3) adsorbents,(4) and host materials.(5) Morphological control of these materials has been one of the major challenges required to realize their industrial applications. Micrometer-size nonporous monodispersed silica spheres were first synthesized in 1968 by Stöber using a water/alcohol/ammonia/tetraalkoxysilane system.(6) This method has been modified by the addition of cationic surfactants and/or by the use of other organic solvents for the synthesis of mesoporous silica spheres.(7)

We have successfully synthesized highly monodispersed mesoporous silica spheres (MMSS) with hexagonally-ordered mesostructures using n-alkyltrimethylammonium bromide as a surfactant template.(8) MMSS are useful for fundamental research on mesoporous materials, because the uniform size of MMSS enables the catalytic activity or diffusion kinetics in the mesopore channels to be analyzed without the effect of particle size. An alternative application of MMSS is to colloidal photonic crystals, and we have already demonstrated the fabrication of various types of colloidal crystals using MMSS and their derivative composites as building blocks.(9)

The pore diameter of the MMSS can be tuned by changing the alkyl chain lengths of the surfactant. (10) However, the maximum pore diameter of MMSS is 2.5 nm. Therefore, expansion of the pores in MMSS is of considerable importance, because large-pore MMSS are promising for new types of colloidal crystals obtained by the incorporation of large molecules, such as metal complexes and biomolecules. Various methods have been attempted to expand the pore diameter of mesoporous materials. The most common technique is the introduction of a swelling agent into the structure-directing template, either in the preparation step,(11) or during post-hydrothermal treatment.(12) The pore diameter can be easily expanded using these methods; however, little attention has been paid to preservation of the morphology.

We have attempted to directly synthesize pore-expanded MMSS using swelling agents such as dococyltrimethylammonium chloride (C22TMACl) and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (TMB). However, despite variations of the synthesis conditions, such as the
concentration and ratio of the surfactant and silica source, and the type and fraction of solvent, monodispersed particles have not been obtained. Therefore, we have pursued a new method to achieve pore expansion of MMSS while retaining spherical morphology, high monodispersity, and radial alignment of the hexagonally-ordered mesopores.

FePt with an ordered face-centered tetragonal (fct) phase has attracted much attention as a material that exhibits high magnetocrystalline anisotropy ($K_1$: 6.6 MJ m$^{-3}$), saturation magnetization ($M_s$: 1140 emu cm$^{-3}$), and relatively high chemical stability. FePt nanoparticles have potential applications in data storage, permanent magnetic nanocomposites, and biomedicines. However, it is generally difficult to handle FePt nanoparticles due to their tendency to readily aggregate. The incorporation of FePt nanoparticles into the mesopores of MMSS is promising, not only to avoid aggregation of the nanoparticles, but also to achieve ease of handling. However, FePt nanoparticles only exhibit ferromagnetism when their diameters are greater than 2.8–3.3 nm; therefore, it is necessary to use mesoporous silica with larger pore diameters.

In this paper, we report on the pore expansion of MMSS using both a surfactant exchange (SE) method and a swelling agent incorporation (SI) method. We discuss the mechanism of the pore-expansion process for each method. In addition, the incorporation of ferromagnetic FePt nanoparticles into the pore-expanded MMSS is described.

There is a variety of possible applications for MMSS materials, and the methods described here are very important for the incorporation of large molecules such as metal complexes and proteins into the mesopores, for the size control of nanoparticles inside the mesopores, and for the retention of pore space after the grafting of organic functional groups.

2. Experimental Section

2.1 Chemicals

$N$-alkyltrimethylammonium bromide or chloride ($C_n$TMABr or $C_n$TMACl, $n = 10, 14, 18, 22$), tetramethyl orthosilicate (TMOS), 1 M NaOH solution, ethanol (EtOH), methanol (MeOH), distilled water and other pore-expansion reagents used were the highest grade available and were used without further purification.

2.2 Synthesis of MMSS$n$ ($n = 10, 14, 18$)

MMSS$n$ ($n = 10, 14, 18$) silica/surfactant composites were prepared by a surfactant-templating method using $C_{10}$TMABr, $C_{14}$TMACl, or $C_{18}$TMACl as respective templating agents. In a typical synthesis procedure of MMSS18, $C_{18}$TMACl (3.83 g) and 1 M NaOH solution (2.28 mL) were dissolved in a methanol–water (60:40 w/w) solution (800 g). TMOS (1.32 g) was added to the solution with vigorous stirring at 25°C and the solution was continuously stirred for 8 h, after which the mixture was aged overnight. A white powdered sample was obtained by repeated filtration and washing with distilled water, followed by drying at 45°C for 72 h. The template surfactant molecules inside MMSS18 were not removed before the pore-expanding process.

2.3 Synthesis of MMSS22 by the SE Method

MMSS22 silica/surfactant composites were prepared from MMSS$n$ by the SE method. 1 g of MMSS18 silica/surfactant composite powder was added to 60 mL of 0.1 M $C_{22}$TMACl solution in ethanol–water (50:50 v/v). The suspension was sealed and placed in an oven at 100°C for 7 days without stirring. The resultant white powder was filtered, washed with distilled water and dried. The powder was calcined in air at 550°C for 6 h to remove organic species. Hereafter, the samples obtained by the SE method are denoted as PS(22)MS18.

2.4 Pore Expansion of MMSS18 by the SI Method

In the SI method, hydrophobic reagents such as TMB, 1,3,5-triisopropylbenzene, anthracene, 1,10-phenanthroline, benzene, cyclohexane, dodecane, hexane, or naphthalene were used. 1 g of MMSS18 silica/surfactant composite was added to 60 mL of an ethanol–water (50:50 v/v) solution including 2.25 g of a swelling agent. The subsequent steps were the same as those for the SE method, but the resulting white powder was washed with ethanol or an ethanol/water mixture instead of water. The powder was calcined in air at 550°C for 6 h to remove any remaining organic species. The samples obtained by the SI method are denoted as PS(sa)MS18 (“sa” represents the swelling agent used for the treatment) hereafter. Incorporation of TMB was also conducted with a 0.1 M $C_{22}$TMACl ethanol–water (50:50 v/v) solution. The sample
obtained with this procedure is denoted as PS(22, TMB)MS18.

2.5 Synthesis of Monodispersed Porous Ferromagnetic Composite Spheres

Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and H$_2$PtCl$_6$·6H$_2$O were used as metal sources. In a typical synthesis, the (pore-expanded) MMSS were impregnated with an aqueous solution containing Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$ and H$_2$PtCl$_6$ (Si:Fe:Pt = 84:8:8 molar ratio) and the mixture was evaporated until dry. The yellow powder obtained was calcined at 800°C for 4 h under a reducing atmosphere (N$_2$:H$_2$ = 95:5 v/v). Reduction was conducted at a higher temperature (usually 600°C for bulky FePt), considering the difficulty of FePt crystallization inside the mesopores.

2.6 Characterization

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM; SIGMA-V, Akashi Seisakusyo), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX; S-3600N, Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM; JEM2000EX, JEOL) were used to characterize the particle size, morphology and the elemental composition. The average particle size and standard deviation were estimated using 50 particles in each SEM image. Thermal desorption system-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (TDS-GC/MS; GC6890/5973N, Agilent Technologies) was used to analyze TMB in the sample. The surfactants in the composite were analyzed by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS; Q-TOF, Micromass) and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/MS; Autoflex, Bruker Daltonics). The constituent phases were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD; RINT 2200, Rigaku) analysis using Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation. Magnetic properties were measured at room temperature with a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM-3S-15, Toei Industry). The pore properties were determined by nitrogen adsorption-desorption analysis (BELSORP-mini II, BEL Japan). The specific surface areas were obtained using the Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller (BET) multimolecular layer adsorption model, and the average pore diameters were calculated from the desorption branch using the Barrett, Joyner, and Halenda (BJH) model.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Pore Expansion of MMSS by the SE Method

SEM and TEM images of PS(22)MS18 produced from the solvothermal treatment of MMSS18 with C$_{22}$TMACI are shown in Figs. 1(a) and (c), respectively. MMSS$n$ and PS(22)MS$n$ particles both retained high monodispersity and spherical morphology after solvothermal treatment. The coefficients of variation for the average diameters were less than 10%. The mesopores in PS(22)MS10 are aligned radially from the center to the outside of the spherical particles, as shown in Fig. 1(c).

The XRD patterns of MMSS$n$ and PS(22)MS$n$ (not shown) have (100) diffraction peaks at 2$\theta$ = 2.59–3.41° and 1.65–2.22°, respectively, which indicate the presence of an ordered mesoporous structure. The $d_{100}$ values calculated from 2$\theta$ are 2.59–3.41 nm and 3.98–5.35 nm, respectively, which confirms that hydrothermal treatment led to enlargement of the unit-cells.

The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and the corresponding pore size distributions of MMSS$n$ and PS(22)MS$n$ are shown in Fig. 2. The isotherms are type IV curves. MMSS$n$ and PS(22)MS$n$ exhibit reversible adsorption-desorption isotherms without hysteresis, and the volume adsorbed was 270–560 cm$^3$ (STP) g$^{-1}$ and 660–820 cm$^3$ (STP) g$^{-1}$, respectively. The corresponding pore size distributions are very narrow, as shown in Fig. 2(b). The particle characteristics for MMSS$n$ and PS(22)MS$n$ are summarized in Table 1.

The pore diameters and volumes increased

![Fig. 1](http://www.tytlabs.co.jp/review/) SEM and TEM images of pore-expanded MMSS samples; (a, c) PS(22)MS18, (b, d) PS(TMB)MS18.
substantially, up to 2.8 and 2.4 times larger than the original values, respectively, while retaining high surface areas and narrow pore size distributions.

The \(d_{100}\) spacing and the average pore diameter of \(\text{MMSS}_n\) increased with \(n\), according to the alkyl-chain length of the surfactant. However, the equivalent values for \(\text{PS}(22)\text{MS}_n\) were slightly decreased. The regularity of mesopores in \(\text{MMSS}_n\) was higher when a surfactant with a longer alkyl-chain was used. It is assumed that reorganization of the mesopores tends to occur more easily in mesoporous silicas with less regularity.

When swelling agents are used, the pores should be expanded by the introduction of these molecules to the surfactants inside the mesopores. If \(\text{C}_{22}\text{TMA}\) surfactants are introduced into the mesopores of \(\text{MMSS}_n\), both \(\text{C}_{22}\) and \(\text{C}_n\text{TMA}\) surfactants should be detected in \(\text{PS}(22)\text{MS}_n\). The surfactants inside \(\text{PS}(22)\text{MS}_n\) prior to calcination were analyzed by ESI-MS and MALDI-TOF/MS. The MALDI-TOF/MS spectra of \(\text{PS}(22)\text{MS}_n\) show only a dehalogenated \(\text{C}_{22}\text{-TMA}\) peak (\(m/z = 368.4\)). In addition, the organic content of \(\text{MMSS}_n\) was significantly increased after pore-expansion. The organic contents of \(\text{MMSS}_n (n = 10, 14, 18)\) were 33.4, 43.4 and 51.0 wt\%, respectively, while those of the corresponding \(\text{PS}(22)\text{MS}_n\) increased to 47.2, 52.8 and 55.2 wt\%, respectively.

These results also suggest that the surfactants inside the mesopores are completely exchanged for the longer alkyl-chain length surfactants, which would result from strong hydrophobic interaction between surfactants with longer alkyl-chain lengths. When identical numbers of surfactants with shorter alkyl-chain lengths are replaced by surfactants with longer alkyl-chain lengths, the pore diameters of the mesoporous silica should be increased.

### 3.2 Pore Expansion of MMSS by the SI Method

In the SE method, the use of ethanol-water solution led to unique pore expansion by control of the amount of silica dissolution. We have applied this method with a swelling agent such as TMB instead of \(\text{C}_{22}\text{TMACl}\) in the SI method, and mesopores of \(\text{MMSS}_{18}\) were expanded up to 2.2 times the original size. SEM and TEM images of \(\text{PS(TMB)}\)MS18 are shown in Figs. 1(b) and (d), and a summary of the particle characteristics are listed in Table 2. \(\text{PS(TMB)}\)MS18 retained highly uniform spherical morphology, as

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Fig. 2** (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) pore size distributions of \(\text{MMSS}_n\) and \(\text{PS}(22)\text{MS}_n\).

### Table 1  Particle characteristics of \(\text{MMSS}_n\) and \(\text{PS}(22)\text{MS}_n\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Average diameter /μm</th>
<th>Coefficient of variation/%</th>
<th>(d_{100}) /nm</th>
<th>Specific surface area /m(^2) g(^{-1})</th>
<th>Average pore size /nm</th>
<th>Pore volume/cm(^3) g(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{MMSS}_{10})</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{MMSS}_{14})</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{MMSS}_{18})</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{PS}(22)\text{MS}_{10})</td>
<td>887</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{PS}(22)\text{MS}_{14})</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{PS}(22)\text{MS}_{18})</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2  Particle characteristics of \(\text{MMSS}_{18}\) and pore-expanded \(\text{MMSS}_{18}\) samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Average diameter /μm</th>
<th>Coefficient of variation/%</th>
<th>(d_{100}) /nm</th>
<th>Specific surface area /m(^2) g(^{-1})</th>
<th>Average pore size /nm</th>
<th>Pore volume/cm(^3) g(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{MMSS}_{18})</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{PS}(22)\text{MS}_{18})</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{PS(TMB)}\text{MS}_{18})</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
shown in Fig. 1(b). The average diameters of MMSS18 and PS(TMB)MS18 were 1.17 and 1.19 μm, which varied only slightly after post-solvothermal treatment. Moreover, TEM images indicated that radial alignment of mesopores was retained after reorganization of the mesopores during pore expansion.

The XRD pattern of PS(TMB)MS18 (not shown) has (100) diffraction peaks at 2θ = 1.27°, which indicates an ordered mesoporous structure. The d_{100} value calculated from 2θ was 6.96 nm. The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and the corresponding pore size distributions for PS(TMB)MS18 are shown in Fig. 3. The isotherm is a type IV curve; a reversible adsorption-desorption isotherm was observed with a characteristic nitrogen condensation-evaporation step at a relative pressure of ca. 0.6, and the volume adsorbed was 1,075 cm³ (STP) g⁻¹. Fig. 3(b) shows sharp pore size distribution curves for the samples before and after solvothermal treatment.

When the solvothermal treatment of MMSS was conducted in the presence of both C_{22}TMACl and TMB, the pore diameter of PS(22,TMB)MS18 was expanded to 4.24 nm, which was smaller than that of PS(TMB)MS18. In a solution with surfactants present, the solubility of TMB probably increases to form a micelle. It is assumed that this increase in solubility causes a decrease in the amount of TMB incorporated into hydrophobic surfactant micelles inside mesopores. It was confirmed that mesopores could be significantly expanded by the SI method. An investigation was then conducted to clarify those types of swelling agents that could satisfactorily expand the mesopores. Table 3 lists the particle characteristics of the PS(sa)MS18 samples.

The use of tricyclic agents, such as anthracene and 1,10-phenanthroline, resulted in lower pore expansion, because their larger molecular sizes prevented incorporation into the micelle rods inside the mesopores. In contrast, the pores were more effectively expanded using smaller sized molecules, of which TMB was the most effective expanding agent. A water-ethanol solution was used as the solvent in the SI method, and reagents with higher affinity for the solvent are expected to increase their distribution in the solvent, but decrease that in the hydrophobic micelle rods. Therefore, it would be concluded that appropriately sized hydrophobic reagents are effective as swelling agents, except N, N-dimethyldecylamine (DMDA). Although the solubility of DMDA in the water-ethanol mixed solvent is higher, this agent had the next best effect for pore expansion after TMB. It has been proposed that the alkylamine is self-assembled into an inverted cylindrical micelle inside the alkyltrimethylammonium micelle in the presence of water, being held via attractive hydrophobic forces. Therefore, it is likely that the mechanism of pore expansion using DMDA is different from that for the other hydrophobic reagents.

If TMB is incorporated into the mesopores of MMSS_n, then TMB should be detected in PS(TMB)MS18. The TMB inside the PS(TMB)MS18 prior to calcination was analyzed by TDS-GC/MS. The TDS-GC/MS spectrum (not shown) of PS(TMB)MS18 has a TMB peak (m/z = 120), which confirms that the TMB in solution had been incorporated into the mesopores of MMSS. It is assumed that a strong hydrophobic interaction between the surfactant alkyl

![Fig. 3 (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) pore size distributions of MMSS18 and pore-expanded MMSS18 samples.](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Specific surface area /m² g⁻¹</th>
<th>Average pore size /nm</th>
<th>Pore volume /cm³ g⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original MMSS-18</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS(Anthracene)MS18</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS(1,10-Phen)MS18</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS(Benzene)MS18</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS(TiPB)MS18</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS(Cyclohexane)MS18</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS(Dodecane)MS18</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS(Hexane)MS18</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS(Naphthalene)MS18</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS(DMDA)MS18</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS(TMB)MS18</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a 1,10-Phenanthroline b TiBP: 1,3,5-Trimisopropylbenzene. c DMDA: N,N-Dimethyldecylamine.
chain and TMB leads to this incorporation. The pore diameters of the mesoporous silica should increase once TMB is incorporated into the mesopores. The reorganization of the mesopores of MMSS by dissolution and redeposition of silica components leads to the pore expansion of MMSS as illustrated in Fig. 4.

### 3.3 FePt-MMSS Composites

Highly monodispersed superparamagnetic composite spheres have been successfully synthesized by incorporating iron oxides such as $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and $\varepsilon$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ into MMSS. The next target was to prepare a monodispersed ferromagnetic composite. These iron oxide particles must be greater than 10 nm to exhibit ferromagnetism (threshold size); therefore, the goal was to incorporate FePt nanoparticles with smaller threshold sizes (2.8–3.3 nm) to realize ferromagnetism in MMSS. Figs. 5(a)-(c) show SEM images of FePt-MMSS composite particles. Some FePt nanoparticles were observed on the surface of the FePt-MMSS composite; however, no small particles were observed on the outer surfaces of the FePt-PS(22)MS18 and FePt-PS(TMB)MS18 composites, which suggests that the large mesopores of MMSS play a key role in keeping the FePt nanoparticles inside MMSS. The Fe/Pt ratio determined from EDX analysis was ca. 0.45 for all composites.

Table 4 lists the particle characteristics of the FePt-MMSS composites. The specific surface area, average pore diameter, and pore volume of these composites decreased compared with those of MMSS (Table 1). However, the FePt-MMSS composites adsorbed a certain amount of nitrogen, of which FePt-PS(TMB)MS18 had the largest pore volume (0.74 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$), which is still sufficient to incorporate other chemicals, nanoparticles, proteins, quantum dots, and enzymes.

TEM images of FePt-MMSS composites are shown in Figs. 5(d)-(f). It is confirmed the FePt nanoparticles are present in the internal structures of the composites; FePt nanoparticles incorporated in the mesopores are indicated by black dots in the micrographs, and the mesopores are aligned radially from the center to the outside of the spherical particles in all the composite particles.

![Fig. 4](image)

**Fig. 4** Schematic representation of MMSS pore expansion.

![Fig. 5](image)

**Fig. 5** SEM and TEM images of FePt-MMSS composites: (a, d) FePt-MMSS18, (b, e) FePt-PS(22)MS18, and (c, f) FePt-PS(TMB)PS18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Specific surface area /m$^2$ g$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Average pore size /nm</th>
<th>Pore volume /cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FePt-MMSS18</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FePt-PS(22)MS18</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FePt-PS(TMB)MS18</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The XRD patterns of the composite particles match the pattern for tetragonal FePt (JCPDS 65-9121). The broad XRD peaks should be attributed to nanometer sized FePt particles; however, in the pattern of FePt-MMSS18, sharp peaks overlap with broader peaks. These sharp peaks are assignable to larger FePt nanoparticles formed outside the composite, as evident in the SEM and TEM images. Assuming that the FePt nanoparticles are spherical, the average diameters were calculated using the Scherrer equation to be 4.1 and 5.5 nm for FePt-PS(22)MS18 and FePt-PS(TMB)MS18 composites, respectively. These values correlate well with the average pore sizes of PS(22)MS18 (3.6 nm) and PS(TMB)MS18 (5.5 nm). It is assumed that some mesopores were collapsed by the growth of FePt nanoparticles when the mesopore size was not sufficiently large. From these results, it was concluded that the particle size of FePt could be controlled by varying the size of the mesopores in MMSS.

Both FePt-PS(22)MS18 and FePt-PS(TMB)MS18 were expected to exhibit ferromagnetic behavior, because the sizes of the FePt nanoparticles inside the mesopores were larger than the threshold size for ferromagnetism. Magnetization curves for FePt-MMSS18, FePt-PS(22)MS18, and FePt-PS(TMB)MS18 are shown in Fig. 6. A hysteresis loop was observed in each curve by the ferromagnetic behavior of FePt nanoparticles in the composites; however, a decrease in the magnetization around zero magnetic fields suggests the existence of superparamagnetic components. The coercivities of FePt-PS(22)MS18 and FePt-PS(TMB)MS18 were 2.7 and 3.3 kOe, respectively. The pore diameter of MMSS18 is much smaller than the threshold size of ferromagnetism for FePt nanoparticles; however, a hysteresis loop was observed in the curve for FePt-MMSS18. The FePt nanoparticles outside MMSS (Fig. 5) probably contributed to the ferromagnetism of FePt-MMSS18. The coercivities of the composites correspond well to the sizes of the FePt nanoparticles, which were calculated using the Scherrer equation.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, pore expansion of monodispersed mesoporous silica spheres (MMSS) was conducted using the SE and SI methods. The pore diameter was expanded up to 3.7 and 5.5 nm using the SE and SI methods, respectively, while retaining the morphology, monodispersity and radially, hexagonally-ordered mesopores. This was achieved by solvothermal treatment of MMSS in an ethanol-water mixed solvent system. In the SE method, the C_{22}TMA surfactant with a longer alkyl-chain length was used and the surfactants inside the mesopores were completely exchanged for the C_{22}TMA surfactant. The driving force for surfactant exchange is the strong hydrophobic interaction between the longer alkyl-chains of C_{22}TMA. In contrast, hydrophobic swelling agents, such as TMB, were used for the SI method. The swelling agent penetrated into the micelle rods inside the mesopores by hydrophobic interaction between the alkyl-chains of the surfactants and the swelling agent. Highly monodispersed ferromagnetic composite spheres were successfully obtained using pore-expanded MMSS. When large pore diameter MMSS were used, FePt nanoparticles were uniformly dispersed in the mesopores without deposition on the surface of MMSS. These composites have both ferromagnetic behavior at room temperature and mesoporosity with a large pore volume.

Application of these methods enables control of the pore diameter, particle size, and amount of FePt nanoparticles in the FePt-MMSS composites. The composites still have large surface areas and pore volumes, so that a variety of nanoparticles, quantum dots, enzymes, and DNA molecules, can be further incorporated into the mesopores. These methods have potential applications in drug delivery systems, gene delivery systems, magnetic hyperthermia devices, and magnetic photonic crystals.
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